

## HEP Scoring Criteria

**Project Title:**

**Applicant(s):**

**Project Manager:**

**Score\***

- 
- |  |       |
|--|-------|
| 1. Proposal is highly consistent with HEP goals.   | _____ |
| 2. Objectives, methods, and potential outcomes of the proposal are clearly stated.   | _____ |
| 3. Project manager and/or principal investigator have demonstrated that they have the expertise needed to accomplish the proposed project.                         | _____ |
| 4. Organization or company have demonstrated that they have the resources necessary for the successful completion of the proposed project.                         | _____ |
| 5. Habitat enhancements will clearly benefit aquatic and/or terrestrial species.   | _____ |
| 6. Proposed statistical indicators for measuring project success are valid and are clearly stated.   | _____ |
| 7. Habitat enhancements will benefit rare, threatened, or endangered species.  | _____ |
| 8. Habitat enhancements demonstrate high potential for long-term habitat benefits for aquatic and/or terrestrial species.  | _____ |
| 9. Habitat enhancements will provide secondary benefits (e.g., shoreline stabilization) to the project area beyond that noted for aquatic and terrestrial species. | _____ |
| 10. Proposal demonstrates a high degree of innovation and creativity in its development.   | _____ |
| 11. Proposal demonstrates a major effort to partner with other organizations or companies to increase the scope and resources associated with the project.         | _____ |
| 12. Proposed budget is clearly sufficient to accomplish project objectives.  | _____ |

- 13. Potential project results can be readily transferred to other areas. \_\_\_\_\_
- 14. Proposed project has a high potential for public outreach. \_\_\_\_\_
- 15. Long-term sustainability of this proposal is high without additional HEP support. \_\_\_\_\_

Total score

---

\* Apply a numerical score (1-5) for each item with 1 = criteria clearly not met.